

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/588,790	Applicant(s) THANNER ET AL.	
	Examiner Steven Scully	Art Unit 1727	
All Participants:		Status of Application: <u>71</u>	
(1) <u>Steven Scully.</u>		(3) ____.	
(2) <u>Richard Tushin.</u>		(4) ____.	
Date of Interview: <u>20 October 2010</u>		Time: <u>11:00AM</u>	
Type of Interview:			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: _____ .			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 79			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
Discussed Amendment to claim 79 to place application in condition for allowance. Contents of Amendment discussed are explicitly found in the Examiner's Amendment.			
Part III.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
/S. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 1727			
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			